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In August, astronomers found clear evidence of a planet orbiting the closest star to Earth, Proxima Centauri. As this was a topic with very wide public interest, the progress of the campaign between mid-January and April 2016 was shared publicly as it happened on the Pale Red Dot website and via social media. The reports were accompanied by numerous outreach articles written by specialists around the world. Credit: ESO/M. Kornmesser
Editorial

As 2016 draws to a close we celebrate another year of groundbreaking astronomy, followed every step of the way by an inspired and engaged public. We saw the first images from the public-led JunoCam, the world said a heartfelt goodbye to Rosetta, and the Pale Red Dot Project captured the imaginations of media and public around the globe.

In this issue of CAPjournal authors reflect on the successes and challenges of Massive Open Online Classes — a growing forum for learning that is still in its infancy — and we hear from the professionals on how astronomy communicators can get their press release noticed in the busy news marketplace. Plus detailed accounts from practitioners engaging publics with astronomy everywhere from remote villages in the Andean Mountains to bars across America.

Many thanks once again for your interest in CAPjournal, and I remind you that if you have an article to share with the community, would like to comment on articles in this or previous issues, or have a letter to the editor you would like us to publish you are welcome to get in touch.

I look forward to hearing from you and happy reading,

Georgia Bladon
Editor-in-Chief of CAPjournal
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Cover: This incredible image of the planet Jupiter combines an image taken with Hubble Space Telescope in the optical (taken in spring 2014) and observations of its auroras in the ultraviolet, taken in 2016. Credit: NASA, ESA
Known by the ancients, named after the King of the Gods, and more than twice as massive as the rest of the planets, gas and dust in the Solar System combined, Jupiter needs little introduction.

But despite being such a monster of the sky, there is still a great deal we do not know about Jupiter. Why is it red? How is its magnetic field generated? What lies beneath the clouds?

Four hundred years after Galileo first gazed upon Jupiter’s stormy surface NASA’s Juno spacecraft has begun its mission to answer some of these questions.

Juno and its entourage of scientific instruments will analyse the chemical composition of Jupiter’s colourful atmosphere, as well as probing its magnetic and gravitational fields to discover what lies at its core. Juno also promises to unearth clues to Jupiter’s birth and the very origins of the Solar System during its thirty-seven orbits of the planet before deliberately descending through the clouds in February 2018 and succumbing to the planet’s crushing atmosphere.

One of the spacecraft’s instruments in particular has excited amateur astronomers. Not listed as a scientific instrument but as an outreach tool, JunoCam is sending back the most detailed images ever captured of Jupiter’s broiling surface, and the public is in control of the shutter.

As Juno grazes Jupiter’s atmosphere, JunoCam will capture cloud-top features in unprecedented detail. A single pixel can cover as little as three kilometres during closest approach, smaller than a terrestrial thunderstorm. In comparison, the iconic imagery of Jupiter from the Hubble Space Telescope cannot typically resolve details smaller than a hundred kilometres — the size of an average hurricane on Earth.

Unfortunately, JunoCam will only last as long as its circuits can stand the bombardment of Jupiter’s intense radiation, and there is no guarantee as to how long it will hold out. With only a few hours each orbit to capture the highest resolution images, target locations will be scheduled by public vote, commencing in early November 2016.

Handing over the controls of JunoCam to an interested public provides a whole new level of personal interaction with space missions. Anyone can join the online discussion about what locations they are keen to see captured, as well as uploading their own images to direct researchers towards features of interest. All of the raw mission data will be made publicly available after transmission, to be processed by the keen amateur community.

Figure 1. This artist’s illustration depicts NASA’s Juno spacecraft at Jupiter, with its solar arrays and main antenna pointed towards the distant Sun and Earth. Credit: NASA/JPL-Caltech
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Explained in 60 Seconds: Jupiter Descending
The last four years have seen a considerable reduction in the number of candidates for the only Portuguese university degree course in astronomy. As a consequence, a number of measures were taken in order to increase the awareness of astronomy among high school students and to increase the number of students who ultimately decide to apply for the astronomy degree. Here, we present a week-long programme of experimental activities and lectures covering the major fields of astronomy, and an evaluation of its success.

Introduction

The University of Porto runs the only university astronomy degree course in Portugal and it has been accepting students since 1984. Although the intake of students per year has never been very high the lack of candidates has become a larger issue over the past few years. In 2012 there was a total of nine students enrolled in this degree and in 2013 the number reduced to just six. This has raised special concern since it could eventually result in a lack of qualified astronomers for Portuguese research institutions. A few other scientific degrees at the University of Porto, such as chemistry and engineering, are also experiencing lower enrolment numbers than usual but, notably, the same is not true of the physics degree course.

No significant population changes have been identified that could affect enrolment, leading us to believe that the decrease in the number of students enrolling is due to diminishing interest in this field among potential students. Alternatively, this could be due to a change in students’ expectations regarding future employment, biasing them towards other degrees they believe to have better employment prospects. Such an argument is reinforced by the fact that Portugal has undergone a period of economic recession, with high unemployment rates, which may have led students to prioritise employment prospects when choosing a degree.

In light of this decline in astronomy students Centro de Astrofísica da Universidade do Porto (CAUP), which, together with the University of Porto, has the role of training new astronomers, has developed and joined several initiatives aiming to reverse the downward trend in student numbers. Some of these activities try to stimulate post-university students’ curiosity and interest in astronomy, whilst others debunk common myths about employability. This paper presents one such activity — a week-long summer programme — its evolution over the last three years and an analysis of the evaluation data captured from the programme.

The University of Porto already has a programme which introduces eleven to seventeen year olds to the university environment. This programme, entitled Junior University, has been running since 2005, with the primary model being week-long activities held in July, plus three subprogrammes (Ferreira Gomes, 2007 and Marques, 2010). Around 5000 students participate in the programme every year, making it the largest such initiative in Portugal.

For the youngest students, the Try it in the Summer subprogramme includes daily activities exploring different fields of knowledge including science, arts, philosophy and history. Students rotate across these daily activities during their week in Junior University. In 2015, there were six groups of different activities. CAUP has been participating in this programme for several years with a daily activity dedicated to the Solar System.

Students in the thirteen to fourteen age bracket can enrol in the Summer Workshops which are also made up of activities in different fields of study. The older students, on the other hand, participate in the Summer Project subprogramme. This subprogramme consists of week-long activities focused on a specific field of study with up to sixteen students in each activity. These students are usually fifteen to seventeen years old and in 2015 there were a total of 83 different activities for them to partake in.

With Junior University already in place, it was decided that a course in astronomy should be developed for interested students, taking advantage of the organisational effort already being invested by the University of Porto each year to run the larger programme.

Activity organisation

The astronomy course developed — entitled Astronomy: from concepts to practice — is intended to guide students through some of the main areas of astronomy. Students spend most of the time performing experiments and discussing the results, while an hour to an hour and a half is dedicated to lectures each day.

The first two days of the course serve a dual purpose as they deal with stars and exoplanets as well as the basic properties of light and how it is used in astronomy. The third day of the course is devoted to extragalactic astronomy, and the fourth to cosmology and the fundamental laws of physics. The theme of the last day of the course is astronomical instrumentation, with a focus on telescopes. The last day
A Week-long Summer Programme in Astronomy for High-school Students

also provides students with information regarding the training opportunities in astronomy available at the University of Porto. The weekly schedule of this activity is summarised in Table 1 and presented in more detail below.

Each day starts with a theoretical lecture providing mathematical tools and basic physics knowledge which students will need during the week. As the students are spread across three different school years and so have different levels of knowledge of physics and mathematics these lectures are a vital way of ensuring all students have the knowledge needed to get the most out of the course and the experimental activities that follow the lectures each day. The lectures are presented by CAUP researchers who also teach undergraduate and graduate courses in astronomy at the University of Porto.

The last day also starts with a lecture followed by experimental activities but the afternoon is spent in a brief presentation of the training opportunities in astronomy at the University of Porto and watching two shows at Porto Planetarium.

The course also includes a night sky observation. The observation is always scheduled for the first night but this is subject to change according to weather conditions. If weather conditions do not allow the observation to take place on any of the nights — something that has only happened once in three years — the students can still enjoy a demonstration of the night sky inside Porto Planetarium.

Description of the lectures and experimental activities

There are currently twelve experimental activities performed over the course of the week. Some of the experiments were adapted and expanded from programmes already available as hands-on laboratories for schools at Porto Planetarium but most of them were developed specifically for the course, inspired by resources available online and from the author’s own work.

Some activities simply test physical and astronomical concepts and are then linked to work carried out by astronomers during discussion of the results, but wherever possible the experiments were developed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Monday</th>
<th>Tuesday</th>
<th>Wednesday</th>
<th>Thursday</th>
<th>Friday</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Theme</td>
<td>Stars, planets and properties of light</td>
<td>Stars, planets and properties of light</td>
<td>Galaxies and large scale structures</td>
<td>The fundamental forces of Nature</td>
<td>Observations and technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Properties of light</td>
<td>The Miky Way and other galaxies</td>
<td>Cosmology</td>
<td></td>
<td>Telescopes and astronomical observations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morning</td>
<td>Morning</td>
<td>Morning</td>
<td>Morning</td>
<td>Morning</td>
<td>Morning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The fingerprints of Stars</td>
<td>Comparing brightness</td>
<td>Colouring astronomical pictures</td>
<td>Gravity in action with a torsion balance</td>
<td>Building a sundial and an astrolabe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Black holes’ properties</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Afternoon</td>
<td>Afternoon</td>
<td>Afternoon</td>
<td>Afternoon</td>
<td>Afternoon</td>
<td>Afternoon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Parallax</td>
<td>Solar observation and rotation period</td>
<td>Building a Hubble sequence</td>
<td>Determining the speed of light</td>
<td>Presentation of astronomy training at Univ. Porto</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Searching for exoplanets</td>
<td>Light — our window on the Universe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Planetarium shows</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Night</td>
<td>Night sky observation</td>
<td>Alternative date for night sky observation</td>
<td>Alternative date for night sky observation</td>
<td>Alternative date for night sky observation</td>
<td>Alternative date for night sky observation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. The weekly schedule of the activities. The experimental activities are written in blue for clarity.

Figure 1. One of the pictures taken to simulate the transit method for detection of exoplanets. A small ball held by a thread is photographed at multiple positions while crossing in front of the bulb. Credit: Pedro Mondim and Pedro Pedrosa
to be as similar as possible to experiments actually carried out by astronomers.

**Monday — lectures**

At the beginning of the week, each student receives a guide that provides, for each experiment they will perform, a short theoretical introduction, the experimental protocol and extra space for recording results, performing calculations and taking notes. The students are guided throughout their activities by the author, an astronomy outreach professional, and also by another guide with a college-level education in astronomy.

After a short introduction to the course, the week starts with a lecture on techniques used to measure astronomical distances, on the concepts of brightness, luminosity and magnitudes and also on stellar spectra and the Hertzsprung–Russell diagram.

**Monday — activities**

**The fingerprints of stars**

This activity, adapted from a hands-on laboratory experiment available at Porto Planetarium (Mondim, 2010), has the goal of showing the students the major role played by spectroscopy in astronomy and some of the information astronomers obtain from it.

**Parallax**

This activity, which was also adapted from a hands-on laboratory experiment available at Porto Planetarium, leads the students to think about how astronomers are able to measure distances, providing an example of one of the several available methods.

**Searching for exoplanets**

Exoplanets, whilst a major focus of modern astronomy, are not explicitly presented in any of the lectures but that is compensated for by a theoretical introduction to this activity, after which students use the transit method to determine the presence of a planet around a star and estimate its size (Figure 1). This activity was developed from scratch by the author but related experiments can be found elsewhere.

**Tuesday — lectures**

The Tuesday lecture focuses on the techniques used to measure the velocity of an astronomical body, and then qualitatively describes the formation, evolution and death of stars.

**Tuesday — activities**

**Comparing brightness**

This activity was inspired by the work of Paul Doherty and is used to allow students to better understand the concepts of magnitude and measurements of a star’s brightness, which have already been presented in the first lecture.

**Solar observation and rotation period**

In this activity, students are shown some of the phenomena that take place in a stellar atmosphere (like sunspots and prominences) and how they can be used to determine a star’s rotation period. The teaching of how to determine the rotation period is similar to the description available in protocols online, but makes use of digital images of the Sun.

**Light — our window on the Universe**

This activity was adapted from a hands-on laboratory already available at Porto Planetarium and with it we expect students to be able to understand refraction, total internal reflection, specular reflection and diffraction and how these phenomena can be used to build astronomical instruments.

**Wednesday — lectures**

The Wednesday lecture presents a qualitative overview of the Milky Way’s properties and dynamics as well as galaxy formation and interaction models.

**Wednesday — activities**

**Colouring astronomical pictures**

Most students have no idea how the astronomical pictures shown in the media are produced and imagine that astronomers always work with coloured pictures. This activity challenges these assumptions, explaining how coloured pictures are obtained and letting the students apply some techniques to compose coloured astronomical pictures. Several step-by-step guides to producing coloured images can be found online.

**Building a Hubble sequence**

Although the morphological classification of galaxies is discussed in this day’s lecture, this activity reinforces the topic, challenging students to try and classify galaxies themselves. Afterwards, each student selects their favourite Hubble sequence and receives their own A3-sized copy of their chosen Hubble sequence.

**Thursday — lectures**

This lecture presents some basic cosmology concepts, and discusses the history and evolution of the Universe and the main properties of the fundamental forces of nature. Developing experimental activities for cosmology proved to be a challenge and therefore this day’s experiments deal with just one of the topics of the lecture: the gravitational force.

**Thursday — activities**

**Gravity in action with a torsion balance**

While the effects of gravity are quite clear in astronomy, it is difficult to gain a reasonable idea of gravity’s effects between small objects. This experiment allows students to actually observe such effects. They also compare gravitational and electric forces, doing some simple calculations. The torsion balance in this activity is based on the one described by John Walker.

**Black hole properties**

In order for students to better grasp the order of magnitude of the sizes and masses of black holes they use the Schwarzschild radius equation to estimate the properties of black holes.

**Determining the speed of light**

The entire afternoon is devoted to this activity, adapted from the work of Jan Paul Dabrowski and other collaborators at Gettysburg College. Its aim is to better prepare students for the reports they will be required to write in school and university. This is done by having students determine the speed of light, using Rømer’s method, and then write a report. This report is read by the author and discussed with each group the following day, providing detailed feedback and suggestions for improvement.

**Friday — lectures**

Friday’s lecture is devoted to telescopes and astronomical observations and is somewhat longer than the others as several astronomical instruments are displayed and manipulated by the students during the lecture.

**Friday — activities**

**Building a sundial and an astrolabe**

This activity was developed to show students how astronomical concepts and data can be used to provide useful information for our daily lives, namely, time and orientation, and also how simple materials can be used to build astronomical instruments.
The sundial is built using a pre-cut piece of cardboard which has to be assembled and glued (Figure 2), while the astrolabe is built according to a technique described online.

**Presentation of undergraduate and graduate astronomy programmes**

As one of the main goals of this programme is to increase the number of students enrolling in undergraduate and graduate programmes in astronomy, at the end of the week we present them with the options available at the University of Porto. This presentation is led by the director of the astronomy undergraduate degree who also discusses the employment and career options for people with academic degrees in the field of astronomy.

The programme ends with two shows inside Porto Planetarium, one on dark matter and the other on the origins of life.

**Evaluating the course**

At the end of the week, just before the planetarium shows, each student completes a questionnaire to evaluate this programme. From 2016 students will also fill out an additional questionnaire on the first day to provide a baseline and allow for better evaluation of the programme’s effects. The questionnaires are filled in anonymously and the students’ comments over three years have led to several changes to the global organisation of the programme (described below) and in the theoretical lectures and experimental activities themselves. However, another important goal of these surveys is to understand if the activities have actually had any influence on the willingness of the students to enrol in undergraduate studies in astronomy.

All the students who participated in this programme — 89 over the three years the activity was held — were required to fill in the questionnaire on the last day of the activity. The number of students is higher than the maximum number of students officially allowed to attend a Junior University course (sixteen) as high demand has led to an increase in available vacancies for Astronomy: from concepts to practice. The average rating students gave to this programme was 4.57 on a 5-point scale.

**Effect on uptake and interest in astronomy**

Analysing the survey results we have found that, of the students who attended the programme over three years, 43.8% had just finished a school year spanning ages fourteen to fifteen. In comparison, 38.2% had just finished a school year spanning ages fifteen to sixteen and only 18.0% had finished a school year spanning ages sixteen to seventeen.

Students were questioned about how this activity had changed their view of astronomy and if their perceived likelihood of applying for an astronomy undergraduate degree had changed. The results are shown in Figures 3 and 4. The percentage of students who stated that this activity had increased a lot or increased somewhat how much they like astronomy is remarkable (80.9%) and only one student over the three years said it had actually decreased his interest. Over 70% of participants reported that the effect of our programme had increased their perceived likelihood of enrolling in the astronomy degree, while this likelihood remained the same for 27% of the students and decreased somewhat for just 2.2% of students. However, it is not yet known if these effects are sustained over time and if students actually end up enrolling in the astronomy degree, as only a small number of those who participated in this programme have finished high school and applied to university-level degrees. So far, only eleven of our students have applied to university-level education; of these, four enrolled...
in non-scientific degrees, two enrolled in aerospace engineering at another university, two enrolled in physics at another university and three enrolled in the physics degree at the University of Porto. It should be noted that one of the measures taken by the University to ensure the continuity of the astronomy training programmes was to merge the astronomy degree into the physics degree as an option available for students to choose in their last year. Thus, our students have been informed they should opt for the physics degree at the University of Porto when seeking a career in astronomy.

Updates to the course based on feedback

In the questionnaire, students were asked about the experimental activities and lectures and about several organisational aspects of the programme.

The results have led the author to implement several changes over the years. The cosmology lecture was replaced by a simpler version: it became essentially descriptive, with a very limited quantitative content, as the original version was deemed by the students to be too hard to grasp.

The extragalactic astronomy lecture was somewhat refocused towards galaxies, with just a couple of minutes dedicated to the large-scale structure of the Universe and it was also changed to present a more detailed description of Sagittarius A*, the central black hole in the Milky Way.

The lectures of the first two days were reorganised to allow a chapter devoted to star formation, evolution and death.

Regarding changes in experimental activities, the optics experiment was simplified, as it originally included a protocol for critical angle estimation that was not easily understood by the students. Two new activities were also developed and added, after the first year, to reduce downtime, as the author realised some activities took less time than expected.

Conclusion

This programme seems, based on evaluation so far, to provide a major boost to most students’ willingness to apply for the astronomy degree and to improve their opinions about astronomy. The high rating the programme received (4.57/5) also shows that it meets or perhaps even surpasses the students’ expectations.

This activity will be offered once again to students next summer for two weeks, with minor rearrangements to theoretical lessons and with a programming activity replacing the one using the torsion balance. The author expects to keep applying further changes to the programme in the following years according to the future feedback given by the students and the author’s opinion of what could be improved in the different lectures and experimental activities.
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Press releases are an important part of communicating new discoveries with the general public. However, if they are confusing or difficult to read then they are unlikely to be picked up by media outlets. This article details how to create a successful press release by addressing a series of points: learning how to identify the audience; writing text that is both eye catching and clear; including multimedia and contact details; getting your press release to the media; and timing.

How to write a press release

The media are becoming increasingly captivated by astronomy, with mainstream channels devoting more air time and column inches to the field while smaller specialist blogs and websites are gaining growing audiences. And they are always hungry for more stories. One of the classic ways of making sure a paper is reported on is via the publication of a press release. However, if this fails to captivate the editor or writer reading it, it is going to get thrown out immediately. This article will lay out how best to ensure your press release is not one of those that is passed over.

Know your audience

The first question writers ask themselves is who is the audience? Writing a press release is no different. Identify who you want to appeal to: the mainstream media or a specialist site? Television? Radio? Online? Print?

Once you know this, keep it in mind throughout the process of writing a press release. Mainstream media want easily accessible stories about things that are ground breaking, so a press release to them needs to sell the importance of the discovery. Specialist publications want to be able to delve into the details and show their expertise, so it is important to include the facts and figures.

Get off to a good start

There are three questions which need to be answered as soon as possible: What is the story about? Why is it news? Why do we care?

“What is the story about?” should be answered in the headline. Make it clear what the story is about. Occasionally a witty headline might catch an editor’s attention, but it will likely be passed over if they cannot see what the story is. There have been several cases where a badly worded introduction has led to a finding’s being widely misinterpreted, such as the University of Exeter’s release “Rotten Egg Gas Holds Key to Healthcare Therapies” which was transmuted into the incorrect (but more click worthy) “Farts Cure Cancer”.

“What is it news?” means describing what it is that makes this result worthy of report over the next paper in the journal. To be news, a finding has to create some shift in the way we understand the Universe, so make sure the reader knows what that is.

“Why do we care?” asks what it is that will make people stop and read the story. Answering this depends on the audience you are appealing to. Generalist media tend to pick up only science stories that either have a profound but understandable effect on our understanding of the Universe, or directly affect the person in the street, or tap into people’s dreams, like space travel, aliens or anything that appears in science fiction. Specialist science and space media care about much smaller stories, but they must still have some element of interest beyond being another new result.

Editors also love superlatives: first, best, biggest, fastest, furthest. If you can find one, then it should absolutely be included, but do not twist a story just to create one as it will only lead to confusion and mis-reporting.

If by the second or third paragraph all three of these questions have been answered then you are off to a good start.

The rest of the story

Once you have established what the story is in the first few paragraphs, start going into the details. Get the important facts out first then move onto the whys and wherefores of how the research was done, ensuring you include details of all the telescopes, instruments and organisations that were involved. You should also ensure that there is a forward-looking part which details what comes next and how this new result will impact the field from now on.

Being too technical is the bane of most efforts to communicate science to the public. Press releases are no different. The most important thing at this stage is not to get lost in a sea of impenetrable jargon, technical terms and bad English. Remember, most of the editors and writers reading the release will not be experts in the given field, and may not have a scientific background at all. They could be receiving hundreds of releases a day, so if the press release cannot be understood on a quick pass, then it runs the risk of being overlooked, or reported incorrectly. By all means use technical terms, but make sure you explain them clearly as soon as they appear.
That said, it is important to ensure you still say something definitive. People often believe that simplifying for a lay audience requires stripping out all the details. Sentences such as “this will teach us a lot about the Solar System” or similar, while true, tell me nothing: what will it teach us? How? Why is it important to know?

If you want your release to become a news article, quotes from the people involved are an absolute must as they give stories authority. Make sure that every key point in your press release has a matching quote to go with it.

A human angle can also lift an otherwise mundane story to a much more interesting level. Stories that involve members of the public or young people always do well, as do ones with an anecdote or two. Something along the lines of “we came up with the idea over a beer” or “we nearly lost all our work because someone didn’t back it up” can connect the reader to the story and is the kind of thing writers look out for.

Multimedia

Any news story is about more than mere words. Images, videos and sound clips not only help to tell the narrative, but draw attention to the story as well.

For all media bar radio, images are an absolute must and if none is supplied it can be grounds for dropping a story. Sometimes this is easy: a beautiful shot of the nebula being studied for instance. However, if the report is on theoretical work on dark matter then it might be trickier.

There are thousands of artists’ impressions that are free to use courtesy of NASA, ESA, ESO and other space agencies. Half an hour of hunting should turn up something usable, though be sure you have the right to use it.

If your budget can stretch to it, it might be worth commissioning your own artist’s impression. The price for this varies depending on the artist and usage agreement, but costs are usually around a few hundred US dollars.

If there is nothing that will suit, include an image of the telescope used, or a shot of the researchers at work on the task.

Any image supplied needs to be as large as possible; roughly 3000 pixels for print or 1000 pixels for web. If the image contains any annotations or markings, then there should be an unannotated copy available as well so that labels can be added in the house style. It is also advisable to have some good quality headshots of researchers on standby should anyone request them, as some house styles require portraits of interviewed experts.

Video is also a great bonus, and not just for television. As news moves online, short videos can really help to popularise a story, particularly on social media. A short visualisation with some of the facts super-imposed on it, or an interview with one of the researchers, will make a story stand out.

Contact is key

Even if you fulfil all the above steps, there will always be something left out that an editor wants and if they cannot contact the relevant people then the story may be unusable. Make sure you include contact details for both the press officer in charge of the release and several, if not all, of the researchers referenced and quoted in the article. The latter will hopefully be inundated with requests for further interviews, so make sure they are okay with that before including their contact details.

If you have access to radio or television recording equipment through your institution or otherwise, list this as well.

Getting out there

Most press releases that I receive come through news distribution agencies that act as middle men between the media and researchers.

The American Astronomical Society has a forwarding list that goes out to over 2000 reporters and public information officers at no charge. This does not have much mass appeal, but it is great if you are targeting space enthusiasts.
If you want a wider appeal then there are many agencies that distribute general science such as EurekAlert and AlphaGalileo, or general news, like Newswire. There is usually a fee associated with these services, so your institution may already subscribe to a specific one.

Some researchers prefer to contact press officers directly. Press listings, such as ResponseSource and Vuelio (UK centric) can supply contact details for most media outlets. A phone call to a specific editor ensures they know about your release, though some writers find the practice annoying.

Timing

Timing can be vital when considering a press release. Most news outlets will look for stories within usual working hours. Even 24-hour news outlets will do the bulk of their news finding during the day. Most editors will decide what they are going to cover in the morning, then spend the rest of the day working on it. If something comes in at 5pm, it won’t get looked at until the next day, at which point it has already become outdated. Releasing the story early under embargo, giving the media time to write it up without its becoming irrelevant, can help with this problem. However, if the embargo is longer than 24 hours then there is a chance that the story will be forgotten about.

News tends to operate on a yearly cycle, and some months are lighter on news than others. Astronomy news is often seen by the mainstream as ‘soft’ news. The less hard news there is, the more likely it is that an astronomy story will make the cut. Most countries will have a month or so in the year when the government is taking a break or similar, meaning there are fewer political and business news stories, so if possible it might be best to release during this time.

There is also a drop in the number of science-related press releases being sent out between June and September in many countries, when universities have their summer breaks. This can mean that specialist publications are left with few stories to cover, and it improves the chances of yours being selected.

There are many factors that can determine whether or not a press release is picked up for further reporting, but following these steps should help to give yours the best chance.

Notes

2 EurekAlert: www.eurekalert.org
3 AlphaGalileo: www.alphagalileo.org
4 Newswire: www.newswire.com
5 ResponseSource: www.responsesource.com
6 Vuelio: www.vuelio.com
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Introduction

Astronomy on Tap (AoT) is a network of free public outreach events featuring engaging science presentations in bars, often combined with music, games, and prizes for a fun, interactive atmosphere. The events are held in bars and other social venues in order to bring science directly to the adult public and to engage a broader adult audience than typical lectures held at academic and cultural institutions. Each event, typically held on a weekday evening, features several short astronomy-related presentations, primarily led by professional scientists but also by engineers, educators, writers, and artists. There is ample time for questions and conversations between presenters and the audience throughout the event with a dedicated question and answer session and/or panel discussion in every event. Organisers further encourage interactivity by offering small rewards for questions, playing games like trivia or bingo as part of the event, and awarding science-related books, DVDs and posters as prizes.

Over 150 AoT events were held between April 2013 and March 2016, including regular events in over a dozen locations and several singular events (see Table 1). Events continued at a rate of around ten per month. These have attracted extremely positive feedback from presenters, attendees, and venues, all without significant funding. We provide organiser and presenter guides and other resources for new organisers, and our model of events is easy to customise based on the interests and resources of local organisers and their community.

Figure 1. The original Astronomy on Tap logo designed by Alex Parker (Southwest Research Institute) featuring the Hubble Ultra Deep Field (NASA, ESA, S. Beckwith [STScI] and the HUDF Team), based on an image created for the Pub Astronomy podcast by Renée Hlozek (University of Toronto) and Chris Lintott (University of Oxford). Many AoT "satellite" locations have designed their own logos based on the astronomy-image-in-a-glass concept.

Background and goals

Adults in the United States who have finished formal schooling generally spend less than 5% of their lives in formal learning environments. Informal learning, however, can encompass a variety of experiences and tap into notions of lifelong, life-wide (across different social settings), and life-deep (exploring cultural and community values) learning, as detailed in the National Research Council’s Learning Science in the Informal Environment report (NRC 2009). The same report also identifies the best qualities of informal science learning, stating that it should be exciting and engaging, and should encourage sense-making and reflective practice, participation, and identity building.

Nearly three-quarters of American adults consider themselves lifelong learners, and a similar percentage are personal learners, in that they engage in activities to advance their knowledge based on personal interest. Over 80% of personal learners cite a physical location as the venue for that learning (Horrigan, 2016). Recent reports on the expanding nature of science engagement programming have pointed to the need not only for content, but for presenting it in engaging ways that reach wider audiences, both public and professional (Kaiser et al., 2014). Events should be flexible in terms of the physical spaces in which they run, and audience-centric,
connecting the audience and the scientific community in ways that are customised to their wants and needs (Durant et al., 2016). Along these lines, the primary goals of the AoT events are to:

1. Communicate cutting-edge research and fundamental concepts in science, including astrophysics, planetary science, Earth science, and other related fields, directly from scientists to the public in an exciting and engaging live performance.
2. Convey the accessibility, relatability, creativity, diversity, and humanity of science and scientists to the public.
3. Provide opportunities for scientists to practise communication and presentation skills for non-technical audiences in a low-pressure atmosphere.
4. Enable networking among scientists, students, professionals in related fields, and members of their local communities.

The key to AoT is the relaxed, interactive environment. The motivation for having events at a bar or other social venue, instead of at an academic or cultural institution, is to bring science into the everyday life of the general public. In doing so, we aim to reach people who might be intimidated or discouraged by the traditional venues of science outreach. Events are structured to encourage interactions between scientists and the public via questions during and/or after the presentations and also the more extended conversations that are possible because of the open, social venue. We encourage questions from the audience by rewarding those who ask questions with token prizes, thereby explicitly emphasising that questions are fundamental to the pursuit of science and implicitly alleviating the pressure to “do well” — to ask the right questions, or to behave in the right manner — which can be a barrier to developing further interest in the subject matter (NRC, 2009; Hidi & Renninger, 2006). There are typically additional scientists in attendance other than the presenters, and they can be given the option of identifying themselves as available for questions and conversations with lanyards, wristbands, nametags, or even with a sticker that reads “Ask Me About __________________!” filled in with their area of expertise. Both the scientists and the audience members appreciate opportunities to interact in smaller groups or one-on-one in addition to the presentations.

This type of informal environment has been shown to promote learning and is also evident in programmes such as Pint of Science, Café Scientifique, and Nerd Nite events. Key aspects of the format include the supportive, low-pressure nature of events, the audience members’ choice of learning in their leisure time, and personal relevance to the audience through encouraging and rewarding questions, pop culture references and more (Falk & Dierking, 2002). AoT events are similar to Nerd Nite events in that they typically feature multiple presentations along with games/trivia and prizes, but AoT is unique in its narrower focus on astronomy and related topics with the majority of presenters representing practising scientists from a range of institutions, career levels, and areas of expertise. Additionally, AoT blends aspects of science, art, performance, and comedy, tapping into what is recently being recognised as a cultural phenomenon (Kaiser et al., 2014).

The informal, entertaining nature of AoT leverages astronomy as a “gateway” science (Muñoz, 2013) to inspire public interest in, and appreciation of, scientific research. The presentations often include: foundational physics concepts like light and spectra, fundamental forces and particles, sounds waves and seismology, and space-time curvature; research tools such as telescopes, colliders, spacecraft and computing; and results from research, both specific to the presenter and from other areas. As organisers, we encourage presenters to use informal language and tone and to include pop culture references or add interactive elements, like demonstrations, whenever possible in order to make their presentations accessible and engaging to the general public. An emphasis on cutting-edge research in presentations can convey science as an ongoing and ever-evolving endeavour. While we don’t (yet) track audience outcomes, we evaluate the success of the events based on attendance, perceived audience engagement and participation, and feedback received in person and via social media. In particular, organisers have noticed positive reactions from non-expert audience members when a professional scientist admits they do not know the answer to a question, or that no one yet knows. Furthermore, organisers strive to showcase presenters from all genders, ethnic backgrounds, career levels, and types of institutions and they encourage presenters to include personal and creative aspects in their presentations. This exposure to scientists as individuals, and the opportunity to interact with them in a social setting, is often a rare and invaluable experience for attendees.

AoT also provides networking and professional development opportunities for scientists at many levels. Most AoT events take place in cities with multiple academic and cultural institutions and thus provide networking opportunities for scientists from different institutions in addition to interactions with the general public. The format of multiple short talks also means
that several different topics will be presented (optionally organised around a theme), and scientists can benefit from this exposure to research outside of their subfield. Events often include visiting scientists and presenters from other fields (engineering, education, writing, art, etc.) allowing for even broader exposure and networking opportunities. The typical format of multiple short talks combined with the informal, social nature of the events allows presenters to experiment with their presentations in a low-stress environment. Presenters have to actively maintain the audience’s attention so vocal projection and stage presence are required even more than in a typical academic presentation. We suspect that practising these skills in a bar can help scientists improve the quality of their presentations in other venues as well.

**Formation, expansion, and organisation**

AoT began with two events called “Astronomy Uncorked” in New Haven, Connecticut, USA in 2012. They were organised by Meg Schwamb, who was at the time a postdoctoral fellow at Yale University. She initiated events in New York City (NYC) starting in April 2013 and continued them with the help of Emily Rice. By the end of the year there were nine AoT-branded events in New York City, including two “AoT Uptown” events organised by Columbia University graduate students and the first “Astronomy on Deck” in the Space Shuttle Pavilion at the Intrepid Air, Sea, and Space Museum with over 400 people, and two events in Columbus, Ohio, USA organised by Demitri Muna.

A reflection on the first year of events was presented in a poster at the 223rd American Astronomical Society (AAS) meeting. This garnered tremendous interest, and by the end of 2014 regular events were established in New Haven (Connecticut, USA), Toronto (Ontario, Canada), Santiago (Chile), and Austin (Texas, USA) (Rice et al., 2014). In 2015 events began in Seattle (Washington, USA), Denver (Colorado, USA), Rochester (New York, USA), Baltimore (Maryland, USA), Lansing (Michigan, USA), Tucson (Arizona, USA), a re-branding of events formerly known as Space Drafts), Washington (DC, USA), and Ann Arbor (Michigan, USA). Also in 2015 several new organisers were inspired by a presentation given by AoT organisers at the International Astronomical Union (IAU) General Assembly in August (Livermore & Silverman, 2015).

An overview of the expanded AoT community was presented in a second AAS poster in January 2016 (Rice et al., 2016) and by March 2016 events had begun (Urbana and Chicago in Illinois and Santa Barbara and Palo Alto in California, all in the USA). The hope is that by improving the coordination of procedures and resources described below, we will provide support for further expansion of existing events and new locations around the world.

Coordination of the independent “satellite” locations (pun intended) is led by Emily Rice, Demitri Muna, Jeffrey Silverman, Rachael Livermore, and Meg Schwamb. Online resources for satellite locations are developed and disseminated for event planning and promotion. This is done through the website, social network accounts of AoT and the satellite locations themselves, and a “Host Stars” (organisers) Google group.

Currently only a few locations conduct audience surveys for demographic information and event evaluation, but organisers are planning on adding procedures for tracking attendance, conducting audience surveys, and obtaining presenter feedback to our advice package, known as the Launch Manifesto.

**Summary of existing satellite locations**

Satellite events are run independently, with local organisers adapting the suggested guidelines to suit their resources, presenters, and local community. In some locations events take place monthly, while in others they are held every 2–3 months. Several locations have switched, or rotate through, venues, some in search of bigger space and/or better equipment and some in order to reach different geographical populations. Organisers are most typically postdoctoral researchers or graduate students but can also be science and/or outreach staff and science educators.

The first satellite locations were started by scientists who had presented at (or attended) an AoT and then moved to another city, or who learned about the events through visiting scientists. The expansion of the AoT universe has been accelerated by several presentations at research conferences, including the AAS and IAU (Rice et al., 2014; Livermore & Silverman, 2015; Rice et al., 2016). Interestingly, a non-astronomer who had attended an event in NYC initiated the satellite location in Denver, Colorado, USA. Table 1 summarises the first three years of events and lists approximate cumulative attendance for each satellite location.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location (USA unless otherwise noted)</th>
<th>Number of Events</th>
<th>Organisers &amp; Affiliations*</th>
<th>Approximate Total Cumulative Attendance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New York, NY</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>Emily Rice (CUNY/AMNH), Brian Levine (AMNH), Jeff Andrews, Dan D'Orazio, Adrian Price-Whelan (Columbia University), Meg Schwamb (Yale University)</td>
<td>3000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbus, OH</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Demitri Muna (The Ohio State University)</td>
<td>450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Haven, CT</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Stephanie LaMassa, Bhaskar Agarwal, Grant Tremblay (Yale University)</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santiago, Chile</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Amy Tyndall (European Southern Observatory)</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin, TX</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Jeffrey Silverman, Rachael Livermore (University of Texas at Austin)</td>
<td>3655</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toronto, ON, Canada</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Stephanie Keating, Lauren Hetherington, Zoë Jaremus, Max Millar-Blanchaer, Michael Reid, Chris Sasais, Michael Williams (University of Toronto)</td>
<td>750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seattle, WA</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Kristen Garofali, Brett Morris, Nell Byler (University of Washington)</td>
<td>1700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denver, CO</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Adalyn Fyhrie, Morgan Rehnberg (Colorado University at Boulder), Quyen Hart (Regis University), Josiah Albertsen</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rochester, NY</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Jennifer Connelly (Rochester Institute of Technology)</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lansing, MI</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Devin Silvia (Michigan State University)</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baltimore, MD</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Jackie Radigan, Joel Green (Space Telescope Science Institute)</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tucson, AZ</td>
<td>7*</td>
<td>Gauthum Narayan (National Optical Astronomy Observatory/University of Arizona), Sarah Morrison (Lunar Planetary Laboratory/University of Arizona), Evan Schneider (Steward Observatory/University of Arizona)</td>
<td>600**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington, D.C.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Stephanie LaMassa (NASA Goddard Space Flight Center)</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urbana, IL</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Meagan Lang, Joaquin Vieira (University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign)</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ann Arbor, MI</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Yuan Li, Keren Sharon, Aleksandra Kuznetsova, Erin May (University of Michigan)</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicago, IL</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Benjamin Nelson, Laura Sampson (Northwestern University), Megan Bedell, Nora Shipp, Gourav Khullar, James Lasker, Laura Kreidberg (University of Chicago)</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Barbara, CA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Iair Arcavi (Las Cumbres Observatory Global Telescope Network, University of California, Santa Barbara)</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palo Alto, CA (Bay Area)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sean McLaughlin (Stanford/Stanford Linear Accelerator Center (SLAC)), Mandep Gill (SLAC)</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Fly-by” events***</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Washington, D.C., Chicago, Taipei</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>14115</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Affiliations listed were accurate at the time of event organisation and may have changed.
**Not including fifteen previous events under the previous title “Space Drafts”.
***One-time events, two of which occurred in the same city where regular events were started later by different organisers so are listed separately.

Table 1. Summary of AoT events April 2013–March 2016.
### Table 2. Highlighting creative alterations to various elements of the AoT model from various satellite locations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Creative Element</th>
<th>Branch of AoT listed by state (USA unless otherwise noted)</th>
<th>Details of Creative Element</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Format</td>
<td>Austin</td>
<td>Creates themed introductory videos for each event, records each presentation, and posts videos on a YouTube channel.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Format</td>
<td>NYC</td>
<td>Screened all thirteen episodes of COSMOS: A Spacetime Odyssey (2014) at “Cosmos on Tap” events, with a special guest commentator and game for each episode.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Format</td>
<td>Seattle</td>
<td>Occasionally screens episodes of Cosmos: A Personal Voyage (1980).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Format</td>
<td>Baltimore</td>
<td>Held their first event at a live music venue in conjunction with Space Dance (a DJ dance party).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Format</td>
<td>Austin and Santa Barbara</td>
<td>Have a segment of “Astronomy in the News” between talks, showcasing and explaining recent astronomical news items.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Format</td>
<td>NYC</td>
<td>Has collaborated with the Intrepid Sea, Air, and Space Museum, the international Pint of Science festival, the World Science Festival, and NYC STEMFest to host events as part of broader science-based productions.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Merchandise      | Multiple locations have logo merchandise to sell and/or give away as prizes | AUSTIN: t-shirts, can koozies, pint glasses, buttons, stickers  
NYC: buttons, stickers  
Lansing: bottle openers, etched glasses, coasters  
Seattle: etched glasses  
Santa Barbara: etched glasses  
Chicago: stickers, etched glasses, t-shirts  
Tucson: t-shirts  
Washington, DC: buttons, stickers |
| Funding          | Austin and Lansing                                       | Solicit donations via competitive polls. Some of the polls included so far have been: Star Wars vs. Star Trek and Should Pluto be a Planet? |
| Funding          | NYC                                                      | Collects donations via mascot “space bear” (plastic bank painted like an ursine astronaut) and gives away “Neil Tyson’s Trash Treasures” as prizes. |
| Funding          | Austin                                                   | Was offered a beer sponsorship by Odell Brewing Company: their beer is now on tap at the venue and they donate prizes. |
| Funding          | NYC                                                      | Offer drink discounts during events and gave away prizes donated by two beer companies. |
| Funding          | Lansing                                                  | Is provided support from their venue in the form of rented sound equipment and the donation of gift cards to give away as prizes. |
| Funding          | Tucson                                                   | Sells donated pizza to raise funds for visits to local schools. |
| Funding          | Rochester                                                | Collects donations via mascot “space pig” (black chalkboard piggy bank) and gives away prizes donated by the Strasenburgh Planetarium at the Rochester Museum and Science Center. |
| Funding          | Seattle                                                  | Give away custom cupcakes donated by a local bakery, Trophy Cupcakes and Party as prizes. |
| Accessibility    | Rochester                                                | Events have an American Sign Language interpreter available by request. |
| Miscellaneous    | Seattle and Lansing                                      | Venues brew custom beers given astronomically themed names selected by AoT fans. Lansing will be featured at a local beer festival to pour the above-mentioned beers and talk with the public about astronomy. |
A major advantage of AoT-style events is the existence of recommended guidelines and resources, as will be detailed below, in combination with significant flexibility in format and content. Some of the examples of creative approaches adopted by various satellite locations are listed in Table 2.

Starting a new satellite location

Several AoT organisers have drafted a Launch Manifesto that details effective practices for many aspects of AoT events, including finding a venue, scheduling and promoting events, recruiting and preparing presenters, resources for games, trivia, music, and prizes, and how to live stream or record and edit events for the internet. In addition to the crowd-sourced document, there is an active AoT Host Stars (organisers) Google group for discussion of issues that arise.

Some of the most important information needed for organising AoT events is summarised below, and we encourage those interested in starting a new event and looking for more information about our manifesto to get in touch via this form: bit.ly/AoTAAS227 or our gmail address: astronomyontap@gmail.com.

Finding a venue

One of the biggest hurdles to starting an event series is finding a venue. Many AoT locations have experimented with several different venues before finding a good fit with their event and audience. We recommend approaching bars in person to appraise the space and equipment, meet the staff, and explain the event’s purpose and format, after calling ahead to make sure a manager, booker, or owner will be available. We avoid paying venue fees whenever possible, because they are generally outside of our (negligible) budgets. These fees can often be avoided by holding events on less popular nights for venues like Monday or Tuesday. The essential requirement for a venue is functional, reliable audio/visual equipment: screen and projector and/or large televisions and an audio system with at least one microphone. Optimal venue layouts provide sufficient space for the audience to sit with bar access at the rear or side of the audience, or table service. It is up to the organisers to prioritise the accessibility of the bar, proximity to public transportation, and ease of parking, depending on the needs of local community. Finally, events are much easier to coordinate with supportive venue staff who are reliable and communicative.

Suggested format and logistics

We encourage having one or more organisers act as creatively-named masters of ceremonies (e.g. DJ Carly Sagan, MC Tycho Brewhaha, MC SuperDuperNova, MC High-z, MC Nebrewla, DJ Bailey’s Comet) to warm up the crowd, play music, explain games, introduce speakers, and encourage questions. We have found that between two and four short presentations minimises the pressure on any one presenter and gives presenters freedom and flexibility to experiment. It also allows for an evening to be themed around particular content while presenting a variety of aspects and viewpoints. We typically schedule one or two breaks in the programme and end early enough that socialising can continue afterward. Most locations do equally timed talks with time after each for questions. Scheduling of presenters in the programme should take into account their experience level and audience flow. For example, AoT NYC schedules presenters with less experience earlier in the programme so they have a smaller, fresher audience. More experienced presenters headline in the later slots when they may be presenting to a larger, and possibly more drunk, rowdy, and/or tired crowd. Conversely, AoT Austin schedules the least experienced presenter as the middle of three in order to start and end with strong presenters.

Funding

The required monetary costs of AoT events are minimal, especially with venues that do not charge for space or equipment and with access to institutional resources for audio visual equipment, printing and web hosting. Costs can include small prizes that are given away, materials like pens/pencils for filling out games cards or surveys, and presenter costs that are reimbursed. Venues may contribute tips to AoT and/or help encourage donations from attendees. The non-monetary costs, which are potentially significant, include the generally unpaid time and energy of organisers, presenters, and other volunteers who design flyers, promote events, maintain websites and social media accounts, as well as sell merchandise, explain the trivia/games, award prizes, live post on social media, and record video at events.

Many locations collect donations, some invest in logo merchandise to sell at a profit, and several are institutionally subsidised. Institutional support provides publicity, prizes, and/or meals and drinks for speakers and organisers. AoT Tucson sells donated pizza by the slice to support outreach visits to local schools. For certain events, tickets are offered for a nominal fee of ten US dollars or less when partnering with other event series, and profits are shared between AoT and the event organisers. More information about funding models can be found in Table 2.

Presenting

Presenters have included professional and amateur astronomers, planetary scientists, physicists, engineers, and educators along with occasional appearances by writers, designers, artists, filmmakers, and other professionals with astronomy- or science-related projects. The science presenters represent a range of career stages including post-baccalaureate researchers and educators, graduate students, post-doctoral scientists, research scientists, and professors.

The best talks are accessible and engaging, with a straightforward but compelling concept or narrative, and limited to ten to twenty minutes of uninterrupted presentation. Because these events are held in a bar, speakers are not guaranteed a captive or even necessarily respectful audience. Presenters should be prepared to actively earn the attention of the audience in order to maintain their engagement. This is a useful skill to practice and improve for teaching, outreach, networking, and more (Kuchner, 2011). We recommend TED-style slides: visually striking but simple with little or no text and featuring clearly explained and well-motivated science figures, and as much humour, pop culture, and even pro-fanity (without insults or offensiveness) as the presenter would like.
We also encourage presenters to include creative or personal aspects in their presentations, because as part of the second goal of AoT, we want to not only convey science content but also represent the humanity and culture of science and scientists, to make them accessible and relatable to the public. Audiences respond very positively to, and ask many questions about, the stories of how people became scientists, what their personal opinions are, and the mistakes, pitfalls, and other behind-the-scenes stories of research.

Evaluation

Methods of evaluating the success of AoT events are currently limited to estimates of attendance (sometimes tracking new and returning attendees) and subjective assessment based on the organizers’ observations and interpretation of audience engagement, anticipation, and reactions in person and on social media. AoT Austin does, however, conduct monthly surveys in conjunction with trivia questions to estimate audience demographics, find out how people learned about the event, and allow attendees to suggest future topics and provide comments. Their typical response rate is 15–20% with an average of 220. Survey results indicate that their audience consists of about 50% female and 15% black or minority ethnic attendees, which is consistent with their by-eye estimates of the entire crowd. Most of the comments are positive, and a couple of comments each month have useful criticisms or suggestions. A template based on the AoT Austin audience survey was recently made available to organizers of all AoT locations.
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Notes

1 More information on past AoT events: http://astronomyontap.org/events/past-events/
2 Visit the AoT website: www.astronomyontap.org
3 Themed introductory videos from the Austin AoT: https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCGCrMVZtwbA9_46Devdo9g
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This paper presents the results of a massive open online class (MOOC) on astronomy called Astronomy: Exploring Time and Space. The class was hosted by the web platform Coursera and ran for six weeks from February to May 2015. Coverage was designed to emphasise topics in astronomy where there has been rapid research progress, including large telescopes, exploration of the Solar System, the discovery of exoplanets, exotic end states of stars, and the frontiers of cosmology. The core content was nearly eighteen hours of video lectures, assessed by thirteen video lecture quizzes, three peer review writing assignments, and two online activities. Information on demographics and on the goals and motivations of the learners was gathered using standard Coursera entry and exit surveys and an external Science Literacy survey. A total of 25,379 people registered for the course, and most of them did not complete any assignments. About two-thirds of the 14,900 learners who opened the course lived outside the United States, distributed across 151 different countries. Out of 4275 participants who completed one or more assignments, 1607 passed the course, and a majority did so with a grade of 80% or higher. Those who completed the course were generally very satisfied with their experience and felt it met their learning goals. The people with the highest chance of completing the course tended to be in the range 40 to 60 years old, had a college education, and were either retired or working in professional fields. The strongest predictors of passing the course were to have completed the first written assignment or the first online activity.

Introduction

Five years after they burst onto the educational scene, the jury is still out on massive open online classes (MOOCs). The number of MOOCs has grown dramatically to over 4000, and the number of learners is more than 35 million (Shah, 2015). A MOOC is a university-level course that’s offered completely online with no prerequisites, usually free, though often learners pay for a completion certificate. There are three main types of providers: for-profit companies like Coursera partner with universities and faculty to offer a wide range of subjects typical of a Liberal Arts curriculum; non-profit companies like edX operate in a similar way and the smaller companies like Udemy offer vocational courses and courses addressing professional development, with revenues shared between the company and individual instructors.

There are tension between the commercial and educational motivations of the MOOC providers (Ong & Grigoryan, 2015). None of these providers charge tuition fees in the way that major universities do, but their annual revenues are nearly $2 billion and that is expected to grow to over $8 billion by 2020 (Shah, 2015). MOOCs are here to stay.

Despite this strong growth, the debate over the efficacy of MOOCs and their role in the educational landscape continues unabated (Gammage et al., 2015; Hollands & Tirnhiel, 2014; MacAndrew & Scanlon, 2013). The benefit of free exposure to faculty expertise from major world universities is mitigated by the generally low completion rates of MOOCs, in the range of 6–10% (Khalil & Ebner, 2014). However, it is unrealistic to expect very high completion rates in a free-choice learning situation, with adult learners who have to juggle families and jobs and who are not as invested in the educational experience in terms of paying for tuition and receiving college credit. Many people clearly use the MOOC marketplace to browse options or sample an interesting subject without making a real commitment. Modular MOOCs still allow a lot of aggregate consumption of content and learning, even for those who are not completing the course. Moreover, a clear majority of those who do complete MOOCs report educational benefits and a majority also claim career benefits (Zhenghao et al., 2015).

A strong argument for the benefit of MOOCs is their role in democratising education, where people in lower income or developing countries can access world-class education with only Internet access (Dabbagh et al., 2015). That shine dims with the realisation that most MOOC learners already have degrees and jobs, and the majority come from developed counties (Hansen...
used multiple ics that might be appropriate for a general goal was not a survey of astronomy topics (Freeman et al., 2014; Waldrop, 2015).

MOOCs have been an important testbed for instructional strategies and pedagogy. Providers like Coursera offer a data-rich environment for researchers where learner privacy can be safeguarded while their behaviour can be analysed at the level of clicks on the website. One criticism is that MOOCs typically depend on video lectures and multiple choice quizzes, augmented by online discussions. For the most part, the pedagogy is transmissive and the learners are passive, similar to many traditional university learning environments. However, a growing body of research has demonstrated that the best learning gains come from methods that actively engage students and let them work with peers and also get direct feedback from instructors (Freeman et al., 2014; Waldrop, 2015).

Typical online environments make this kind of instruction challenging, if not impossible. In addition, the current MOOC platforms do not support interactive modes of instruction or provide easy ways to incorporate external or third party tools. Few argue that online instruction rivals the best classroom experience, although some experts argue that virtual classes can be better than real ones (Oakley, 2015). On the plus side, though, they allow new tools and technologies to be tested readily on any MOOC platform, generating copious data and permitting a very rapid development cycle.

Each of these issues represents an opportunity for research and for learning how to improve online instruction. We started developing our course in 2012, and the goal was not a survey of astronomy topics that might be appropriate for a general education course, but coverage of the research topics, from comets to cosmology, where there is rapid progress. Our first offering was through course site Udemy, starting in February, 2013. That course is still running, and it has had over 36 000 people enrolled to date (Impey et al., 2015). We then augmented the video content by 50%, and started a second course on Coursera, which was the first offering by the University of Arizona after it joined their university consortium. That course ran for six weeks from February to May 2015 with over 25 000 enrolled learners, and is the subject of this paper. In the summer of 2015, we transitioned to Coursera’s on-demand platform. A continuously enrolled course has been running on that platform since August 2015; it will be the subject of a future paper. Our goal in this paper is to detail the demographics and motivations of a typical MOOC audience, and identify indicators of engagement and predictors of course completion.

Course materials and pedagogy

Astronomy is a dynamic subject, with new research results reaching the level of the popular media almost daily. Particularly rapid progress is being made in the areas of exoplanets and cosmology. The course Astronomy: Exploring Time and Space was the first MOOC to be offered by the University of Arizona after it joined the Coursera consortium. The goal was to offer a survey of the subject with an emphasis on topics where advances in knowledge have been particularly rapid or profound. The major modules in the course were: the scientific method and the history of astronomy; the night sky; telescopes and the tools of astronomy; matter and radiation; the Solar System; extrasolar planets; the birth and death of stars; galaxies and the large scale structure of the Universe; cosmology and the big bang; and life in the Universe. The material often included research being done in the University of Arizona Department of Astronomy and Steward Observatory, one of the most prominent astronomy programmes in the world. Relative to an introductory astronomy textbook, the course gave particular emphasis to the method of science, big new telescopes, exoplanet detection and characterisation, tests of cosmological models, and the prospects of life in the Solar System and beyond.

As in most MOOCs, the core content was a series of video lectures. The ten high-level modules were divided into ten to twelve topics each, and the video segments were at the level of a topic, typically six to fifteen minutes long, with an average of ten minutes. Video was shot with HD resolution against a green screen so that background and graphics could be added later. Two camera angles were used for variety. The lead author acts as the instructor and is the talking head in all of the videos. The instructor did not read from a script; rather, he created a narrative from lecture slides created for this course. Images, animations and video clips were incorporated into the videos in the production phase. Video editing was done in Final Cut Pro X®. The goal for the video lectures was a natural style and a varied presentation so they would appeal to people accustomed to science shows on television and high quality web videos. The 109 video lectures total just under eighteen hours, which is at the high end for most MOOCs. No textbooks were used. Instead, the learners had access to a free electronic textbook and other online astronomy resources provided by the instructor at the “Teach Astronomy” website® (Impey et al., 2013).

It is always challenging to use pedagogy that engages learners in a disembodied online environment with thousands of participants. As in most MOOCs, Astronomy: Exploring Time and Space used multiple choice quizzes linked to the video lectures. A total of thirteen quizzes were used, each referring to about 75 minutes of video lecture. Learner engagement was increased with three writing assignments and two online activities. Each writing assignment was peer-reviewed using tools provided by Coursera that randomly assigned three reviewers to each piece of writing. The writing assignments were graded on a five-point scale using a rubric provided by the instructor that each reviewer used to score the work of their peers. The three topics for the 500-word writing assignments were: figures of merit for telescopes across the electromagnetic spectrum, the detection of exoplanets and their properties, and the exotic end states of stars. In addition, students completed two online activities. One was Galaxy Zoo (Lintott, 2008), a pioneering citizen science project that asks participants to classify a set of faint galaxies from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey®. The second online activity had the learners
using the NASA MicroObservatory robotic telescope network\(^2\) to take an image of an object in the sky, then write a brief report on the properties and the significance of the target chosen.

The course used other modes of engagement which did not affect the final grade or the ability to attain completion certificates. Coursera provides embedded discussion boards, and threads were created for each content module as well as for general course issues. Topics generated by the learners proliferated during the course, and included topics such as practical observing with small telescopes, UFOs, science and religion, and astronomy in the news. We could sort threads by activity level and popularity, and members of the instructional team tried to participate in every thread at least once. Live question and answer sessions were conducted using Google Hangouts every week of the course, and automatically archived and posted publicly on YouTube. The course had a Facebook page and a Twitter account, and the instructor maintained an active presence on social media, typically making three or four posts per week.

**Learner demographics and motivations**

Who takes MOOCs today? This simple question does not have a simple answer because free-choice learning can have many types of motivation (Falk and Dierking, 2002). Someone might take a course on programming or algorithms for advancement or for professional development, a course on photography to further a hobby, or a course on philosophy for general interest. We can assume that astronomy draws people because of curiosity or a fascination with the subject. This is probably a different motivation from that for the students at the University of Arizona, who take introductory astronomy as part of a General Education requirement. This course was offered over a six week period in the spring of 2015, and the instructor used his social media footprint to promote the course, reaching amateur astronomers and people who had read his popular books. Students started registering in early December 2014, and a stream of several hundred people a week registered over the two months that followed, with a spike in early February when Coursera started promoting the course in its online marketplace (Figure 1). By the time the course started 25 379 people had registered.

![Figure 1. Registration pattern for “Astronomy: Exploring Time and Space,” a MOOC on Coursera that ran from February to May 2014. Many of those who pre-registered were amateur astronomers or teachers reached by the instructional team using social media.](image)

We gained valuable demographic information from two surveys carried out near the beginning of the course. Coursera gave their standard entry survey to a randomly selected group of 4657 registered learners and shared the anonymised data with us later. We then asked learners to complete a separate Science Literacy survey of our own design that gathered demographic information, as well as asking questions about basic science knowledge and attitudes towards issues of science and technology. We have used parts of this survey for over twenty-five years to measure science literacy in the undergraduate student population at the University of Arizona (Impey et al., 2011). The survey was voluntary, but was motivated by a small amount of credit towards course completion that did not significantly influence the final grade. A total of 2465 learners completed our external survey. Not surprisingly, respondents to the two surveys are skewed in favour of people who participated in the course, and people who passed the course. Out of the roughly 14 900 users who visited the course at least once, 11% graduated, whereas for the survey takers, the graduation rate was 33%. Full analysis of these two data sets is the subject of a separate paper.

As found in similar studies (Daly, 2014), those enrolled in the astronomy MOOC were substantially older than traditional students, with an average age of 36 and a median age group of 31–40 years old. The age distribution was flat except for a peak of people in their twenties (Figure 2). Learners’ geographical locations were classified based on IP addresses. A third of those who visited the course at least once lived in the United States (34.8%). The next most common countries were India (8.2%), United Kingdom (4.7%), Canada (3.9%), China (3.8%), Brazil (3.1%) Spain (2.6%), Russia (2.4%), Australia (2.0%), and Germany (1.9%). A total of 151 countries were represented (Figure 3). In terms of occupations, the course attracted a diverse set of learners. As determined by our external survey the largest group was students (19%), followed by science and engineering professionals (11%), software and computer professionals (11%), and educators (9%). Surprisingly, the next largest category was unemployed people (8%). About equal were retirees and business managers at around 5% each (Figure 4). Consistent with other Coursera studies this was a highly educated cohort (Christensen et al., 2013). Only 16% did not have any level of college education, a third had bachelor’s degrees and another third had advanced degrees (Figure 5). Many had had a substantial amount of previous college-level training in science. Only a
quarter had never taken any college science class, and a quarter had taken ten or more (Figure 6). In this context, a class represents a quarter-year or semester-long course for three credits, in the typical U.S. system. The generally high education level of those who completed the course is discussed later in this paper.

The learners’ intentions and motivations were measured through the Coursera entry survey. This instrument has 22 questions, and it starts by asking about the intentions behind watching the video lectures, completing the assessments, participating in the forums, and attaining a completion certificate. It then probes various motivations for taking the course, using a five-point Likert scale with responses ranging from “not at all important” to “absolutely critical.” The survey ends with three questions about previous familiarity with the subject matter of the course, and two open-ended questions about why the learners are taking the class. People who completed the entry survey were self-selected to be much more motivated that the typical person who registers for the course. This group of 4969 splits roughly equally into those who did

Figure 2. Age distribution of the people registered for the MOOC from the sample of 2465 who took the external Science Literacy survey. The average age is 38 and the median is 55.

Figure 3. Geographical distribution of the 14 900 MOOC participants who opened the course based on their IP addresses.

Figure 4. Occupations of the sample of 2465 MOOC participants who took the external Science Literacy survey. A quarter worked in technical and professional fields.

Figure 5. Highest education level of the sample of 2465 MOOC participants who took the external Science Literacy survey. A third had bachelor’s degrees and another third had advanced degrees.

Figure 6. Number of college science courses previously taken by the sample of 2465 MOOC participants who took the external Science Literacy survey. As many as a quarter were likely to already have a science degree.
not attempt to complete the course, those who tried to complete the course but failed, and those who passed (Figure 7).

Various levels of intention and commitment were seen at the beginning of the course. About 85% intended to watch all the lectures, 71% intended to do all the assessments, 26% intended to participate in the discussion forums, and 46% intended to achieve a paid completion certificate or a free statement of accomplishment. The people taking this MOOC generally had some prior interest or background in astronomy. Just 10% had actual work experience in astronomy, but a third had taken astronomy coursework, and over 70% said they were somewhat or very familiar with the subject. The survey listed ten reasons for taking the course. The reason where the highest percentage of respondents said it was “very important” or “absolutely critical” (80%) was “for general interest, curiosity, or enjoyment,” bolstering the premise that these free-choice learners are not studying astronomy with a practical or a vocational motivation. There was a large drop down to the next set of reasons given by respondents for enrolling in the course: 21% to earn a completion certificate, 10% for gaining skills that might be useful in a new job, 9% for connecting to other students interested in astronomy, 8% to take a course from this particular professor, and 8% to take a course from this particular institution.

Additional clues to learner motivation came from analysis of the open-ended responses to the last two questions in the entry survey: “Why are you taking this class?” and “Do you have any additional comments?”. Word counts of the answers to those questions showed the most frequent keyword, by a factor of two, to be “interest.” The next most frequently counted keywords were: learn, want, curiosity and knowledge. Curiosity is a central attribute of people who become scientists so it’s gratifying that it is also one of the core motivations for people taking this online astronomy course (Figure 8).

In these data, we can see reasons for the typically low completions rates of a MOOC. More than half of those enrolled were between the age of typical students and retirement age. More than half already had a college degree, often a Masters or a Doctorate. More than half were working professionals. People in this segment of the adult population often have busy lives and...
are juggling family and work. Even though 80% expressed an intention to watch all of the video lectures, and 70% intended to complete all of the assignments, only 1 in 5 of those intending to complete the course actually did so. Therefore, 20% could be viewed as a practical ceiling on the likely completion rate in this type of free-choice, informal learning environment.

**Learner engagement and outcomes**

Out of 25,379 people registered at the beginning of the course, 21,104 (83%) did not complete any graded assignment. They are referred to as “phantoms” in the online world because they express an initial interest by registering, but are probably browsing or window-shopping with no intention of completing the course. The remaining 4,275 learners divide into 1,607 who passed by achieving the required minimum of 50% across all of the assignments and 2,668 who failed by not scoring at least 50% on the assignments. Of the 1,607 who passed the course, 1,109 (69%) passed with distinction, a grade of 80% or better, and 498 (31%) passed with a grade between 50% and 80%. The number of people registered and paid for a completion certificate was 296, of which 247 (84%) actually passed the course and so received the certificate from Coursera. The most salient percentages are that 6% of the people who registered passed the course and 69% of those who passed did so with distinction. This suggests a bimodal distribution of performance, with most participants doing a few assignments and then falling away from the class, and a small tail performing at a very high level (Figure 9).

Engagement with the content showed a steep or exponential decline over the first week followed by transition to a steady, linear decline over the rest of the course (Figure 10). There was a small surge at the beginning of the second module on Observing, and two smaller upturns at the beginnings of the modules on the Solar System and Stars. Similar data on the participation in graded assignments shows a similar declining curve, with an added interesting feature: there is a substantial drop for each of the three short writing assignments (Figure 11). Apparently, these learners were more interested in watching videos and in taking video quizzes than in writing about astronomy, even though the writing counted for a significant fraction of the total grade. Participants in the discussion forum were among the most engaged learners in the class, and the numbers of posts and comments and new threads shows no particular trend, except for a strong peak for each new module and less prominent peaks for deadlines of peer grading (Figure 12).

The sample size of \( n = 25,379 \) is large enough to test some potentially interesting correlations. Since the video lectures represented the core of the course, it might be anticipated that the number of video lectures watched would correlate strongly with the final score. It does \( r = 0.67, p < 0.001 \), but the plot shows wide scatter (Figure 13). If those who did not watch any videos are removed, \( n = 12,042 \), the result is still significant \( r = 0.62, p < 0.001 \). The correlation...
For the course participants who had some background in astronomy, or those who were highly motivated to complete all the assignments, video lectures were apparently not essential for success. This has not been noted before and is a particularly surprising result of our study. On the other hand, people watching at least one video watched on average 5.5 hours of content. The distribution is bimodal (Figure 14), and it mirrors the distribution of overall grades (Figure 9). A major goal of MOOCs is outreach rather than formal education, so it is worth noting that, in the aggregate, several thousand people watched 65 200 hours, equating to seven and a half years worth, of astronomy videos as a result of taking this course.

The other type of activity that indicates engagement but is not formally part of the grade was participation in the discussion forums. In this MOOC, forums were the best and often the only form of asynchronous interaction between students and the instructor and his team. We have gathered a wealth of detailed data from the forums, but only summarise the broad results here. The topics of online discussion parse into several categories: comments on specific course content (which is very useful because it includes many eyes catching occasional misstatements in the videos and errors in the assignments); topical threads on recent discoveries and news stories in astronomy; discussion of the practical aspects of amateur astronomy such as where to buy a small telescope and what to observe in the night sky; and threads on potentially controversial issues like science and religion and UFOs. Postings were generally civil and courteous, with only a couple of instances of bad behavior and “trolling,” out of nearly 750 people who posted. Overall, people who participated in the forums earned grades twice as high as those who never participated (65% with a standard deviation of 38% versus 32% with a standard deviation of 34%). The difference in these distributions is significant with p < 0.001 using a Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. The number of posts also correlates weakly with grade for the whole population, n = 25 379 (r = 0.16, p < 0.001) and this result weakens even more if people who did not participate in the forums are excluded, n = 749 (r = 0.11, p = 0.003).

The strongly positive responses in the exit survey naturally reflect the views of those coefficient is lower because we are removing mostly those who watched no videos and had zero score. On the other hand, if we remove those who did not participate in any assessment, n = 4275, the correlation improves (r = 0.75 p < 0.001). Within the whole population (Figure 13), there are people who failed despite watching all the videos (upper left corner) and there are also people who passed without watching many, or even any, videos (lower right corner). A cohort that is particularly interesting is the vertical slice of those who passed the course with distinction, a score of 80% or better. A group of those high performers cluster at the top, having watched all or nearly all the videos, but below 85 out of 109 videos watched, the distribution is nearly uniform.
The exit survey also asked how well the course met the goals and expectations of the learners. Overall satisfaction was high. Over 90% strongly agreed or somewhat agreed that their goals in taking the course were met. The motivations were recreational rather than vocational; only 24% said that the topic of the course was relevant to their current or potential career.

People were asked how the course affected their understanding of astronomy. The percentage with excellent understanding increased from 3% to 18%, and the percentage with very good understanding increased from 12% to 53%. The primary goal of any course is increasing content knowledge, so it is a solid success when the percentage of learners with very good or better understanding grows from 15% to 71%. Consistent with the premise of lifelong learning, 85% said they would be likely to revisit the class materials and 41% even said they would be likely to take the class again. Additionally, 92% said they would be likely to recommend the course to a friend and 90% said they would take another course by the same instructor.

What are the particular characteristics of those who completed the course?

We were able to study characteristics of those who completed the course using data from our external survey of 2465 participants. The percentage of learners who completed the course rises with age, peaking at 46% with the cohort who were in their fifties, dropping slightly for the oldest group (Figure 16). Not surprisingly, completion rate rises with education level, from 26–28% for those with no college education, to nearly 50% for those with a doctorate (Figure 17). By comparing age distribution from Figure 2, educational distribution from Figure 4 and distribution of previous college science courses from Figure 6 with the same distributions for the course graduates we conclude that they are significantly statistically different populations, with p < 0.05 determined by a Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. Therefore, we can state that graduates were typically older and more educated than the general survey population. The highest performing learners were retirees, who had over 40% completion. At slightly lower levels of performance were people in technical fields or professional jobs. Unemployed people were in the middle of the distribution, who performed particularly well in the course (Figure 15). The learner experience was measured by Coursera using their standard exit survey, taken by an anonymous subset of 1472 of those enrolled who also finished the class. On the overall experience, 52% rated it excellent, 34% rated it very good, 12% rated it good, and only 2% rated it fair or poor. In general, learners thought the level of difficulty was slightly easier than expected, workload was slightly heavier than expected, and the pace slightly faster than expected. In terms of their satisfaction with various components of the course, the judgment was the most positive for instructor knowledgeability (96% very good or excellent), instructor clarity (91% very good or excellent), and the videos (87% very good or excellent), and lower for assessments (63% very good or excellent) and the discussion forums (37% very good or excellent). In terms of potential changes and improvements, the most popular suggestion was to spread the content over more than six weeks (53% positive), along with covering more topics (52% positive). Survey respondents were more tepid on the idea of splitting the course into multiple, shorter courses (31% positive). Only one in four said they would have taken this course if it had not been free.

The exit survey also asked how well the course met the goals and expectations of the learners. Overall satisfaction was high. Over 90% strongly agreed or somewhat agreed that their goals in taking the course were met. The motivations were recreational rather than vocational; only 24% said that the topic of the course was relevant to their current or potential career.

People were asked how the course affected their understanding of astronomy. The percentage with excellent understanding increased from 3% to 18%, and the percentage with very good understanding increased from 12% to 53%. The primary goal of any course is increasing content knowledge, so it is a solid success when the percentage of learners with very good or better understanding grows from 15% to 71%. Consistent with the premise of lifelong learning, 85% said they would be likely to revisit the class materials and 41% even said they would be likely to take the class again. Additionally, 92% said they would be likely to recommend the course to a friend and 90% said they would take another course by the same instructor.

What are the particular characteristics of those who completed the course?

We were able to study characteristics of those who completed the course using data from our external survey of 2465 participants. The percentage of learners who completed the course rises with age, peaking at 46% with the cohort who were in their fifties, dropping slightly for the oldest group (Figure 16). Not surprisingly, completion rate rises with education level, from 26–28% for those with no college education, to nearly 50% for those with a doctorate (Figure 17). By comparing age distribution from Figure 2, educational distribution from Figure 4 and distribution of previous college science courses from Figure 6 with the same distributions for the course graduates we conclude that they are significantly statistically different populations, with p < 0.05 determined by a Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. Therefore, we can state that graduates were typically older and more educated than the general survey population. The highest performing learners were retirees, who had over 40% completion. At slightly lower levels of performance were people in technical fields or professional jobs. Unemployed people were in the middle of the distribution, who performed particularly well in the course (Figure 15). The learner experience was measured by Coursera using their standard exit survey, taken by an anonymous subset of 1472 of those enrolled who also finished the class. On the overall experience, 52% rated it excellent, 34% rated it very good, 12% rated it good, and only 2% rated it fair or poor. In general, learners thought the level of difficulty was slightly easier than expected, workload was slightly heavier than expected, and the pace slightly faster than expected. In terms of their satisfaction with various components of the course, the judgment was the most positive for instructor knowledgeability (96% very good or excellent), instructor clarity (91% very good or excellent), and the videos (87% very good or excellent), and lower for assessments (63% very good or excellent) and the discussion forums (37% very good or excellent). In terms of potential changes and improvements, the most popular suggestion was to spread the content over more than six weeks (53% positive), along with covering more topics (52% positive). Survey respondents were more tepid on the idea of splitting the course into multiple, shorter courses (31% positive). Only one in four said they would have taken this course if it had not been free.
Massive open online classes are still in their early days of development, so their full impact on education is not yet clear. However, the potential of MOOCs to facilitate free-choice learning and outreach is beyond doubt. Material that was once confined to enrolled students at major universities is now available free of charge online. MOOCs have gained enormous geographic reach from the penetration and diminishing cost of Internet access across the world.

Astronomy: Exploring Time and Space has put the cutting edge research results of astronomy in the hands of thousands of people in over 150 countries. Even though 41% of those who registered for the course did not “open” the course, the 12,042 people who did watched 65,200 hours of astronomy lectures on video. These participants were generally older than 30 years, and had some level of college education, but their jobs and work experiences were varied. A course like this can meet the goals of lifelong learners to increase their understanding of a technical subject for the purest of motivations: curiosity and intellectual enjoyment (Koller et al., 2013).

More nuanced measures of success than completion rate are needed to fairly evaluate MOOCs (Klobas, 2014).

MOOCs give learners great flexibility in being able to access instructional materials anytime and in any place (the Coursera platform delivers materials to handheld devices), and free access means they

at 33%, and students performed poorest of all major categories, with 25% completion (Figure 18). The different motivations of this diverse population cannot be neatly summarised, but taking an online course with eighteen hours of video content and two dozen assignments is time-consuming, so it is not very surprising that the retired population did much better than average in this course.

What are the major predictors of completion, especially early in a course like this?

The baseline for this discussion should omit the “phantoms” who never opened the course or completed any assignment. That baseline is the 11% of people who opened the course and then completed the course and graduated. Participation of any kind boosts the odds of completion, but there are different levels of predictors. In the lowest tier, 33% of those who did the
really are available to anyone. On the other hand, without the financial commitment of tuition, and the incentive of a grade and college credit, learners are far less invested in the experience than the typical college student. As a result, the completion rate is low. Like other MOOCs, we saw a steep decline in participation and engagement with time over a 6-week course. Out of more than 25,000 registered at the start of the course, only 17% completed any graded assignment. Among those 4275, 38% completed the course with a passing grade of over 50%. Two thirds of those who passed did so with distinction, a grade of over 80%. Performance and participation was bimodal, with a small tail of very engaged and highly achieving students. Those who completed the course were older and more educated than the average participant and they tended to be either students, professionals working in sciences, or educators. The strongest predictors of completion were participation in early assessments like the peer writing and the project, and participation in the discussion forums (Jiang, 2014). Going beyond multiple choice tests and simple forms of assessment to add more interesting and challenging projects may help reduce class attrition (Gutl et al., 2014). The variables that affect student success in MOOCs are still being actively investigated (Reich, 2015; Reilly, 2013).

We plan to continue exploring pedagogy that increases engagement in MOOCs and improves the completion statistics. To do this will require more evaluation of written work, using peer grading since that is the only way to handle thousands of assignments. We will also explore ways to do project-based or hands-on science online, in particular with the type of Citizen Science tool that we used in this course: the galaxy classification activity called Galaxy Zoo. Another approach we will explore is to try and replicate the learning potential of face-to-face group activities like lecture tutorials in an online environment. We will also continue using surveys to better understand the level of incoming science literacy of the learners and their motivation for taking a MOOC. In 2015, we transitioned Astronomy: Exploring Time and Space to Coursera’s on-demand platform, where people can enrol continuously and finish the class at their own pace. This platform is well-suited to trying out new approaches in successive versions of the class, and tracking the outcomes for each cohort. As research on MOOCs grows, and best practices spread, we expect them to become increasingly important for formal, distributed learning.
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Notes

1 Coursera: https://www.coursera.org
2 edx: https://www.edx.org/
3 Udemy: https://www.udemy.com/
5 Teach Astronomy: http://www.teachastromony.com
7 MicroObservatory Robotic Telescope Network: http://mo-www.harvard.edu/OWN/
8 r here is the correlation coefficient whilst the calculated probability is denoted with the p-value
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The project Cielo y Tierra, Spanish for Sky and Earth, was undertaken in order to bring astronomy and ecology to remote villages throughout Colombia using sustainable transport. This transport included three horses and two paragliders. The innovative approach of the expedition helped to keep an extremely low budget whilst making it possible to cross the Colombian Andes from northeast to southwest. This article will show how projects like these can succeed, the need for this kind of project, and the possible impact, with this project reaching more than 1500 people. We hope to encourage others not to be afraid of going into countries like Colombia on a low-budget educational expedition. The success of this project shows that outreach and education projects are possible in these remote areas where little or no governmental or other support reaches.

**Introduction**

What happens when you combine astronomy, ecology, long distance horse trekking and cross country paragliding and undertake a 1000-kilometre journey through Colombia? Cielo y Tierra is the result of this experiment.

To our knowledge, it is the first expedition to bring telescope observations and small educational activities to villages via horse and paraglider instead of using expensive four wheel drives to reach these remote areas. The primary aim of this first expedition was not only to teach factual astronomy but also to excite people, in particular children, and encourage them to explore, discover and keep studying, while at the same time raising awareness of the uniqueness of our planet and the need to care for it.

**Transport and equipment**

To achieve our aims we decided to use sustainable means of transport: horse and paraglider. This combination is not only very low-budget, but also allows us to bring the necessary materials for our workshops and observations while minimising the weight on the horses because as soon as conditions allowed, one of us covered the daily distance with the paraglider while the other advanced with the three horses and our luggage. We carried two telescopes with us, a LightBridge Mini 82 for night-sky and especially lunar observations and a Coronado PST for daytime solar observations, both donated by the company Meade Instruments.

We also had to think carefully about the other materials we brought as electronic equipment, like computers and projectors, was normally not available in the villages we visited. We carried an inflatable solar system, magnifying glasses, a lunar puzzle, many different posters and of course play dough, string and other arts and craft materials.

**Partnership**

Before the expedition we were in touch with only four local collaborators in different major cities in Colombia; Bucaramanga, Tunja, Sopo and Medellin. However, each of them had a network of friends around the country who helped us to plan the route. Whilst useful, an exact plan and direct contacts at the village you plan to visit are not always possible, especially for very small villages with no internet connection. In these cases it is best to arrive in the village sometime in the late afternoon, make contact with the school’s headteacher and organise the activities for the next morning.

**The location**

Colombia lies at the northwest of the South American continent. It has an extremely large variety of flora and fauna since its geology reaches from sea level up to more than 5000 metres in the Andean mountains. Horses are a strong part of Andean culture and the Andes offer some of the best paragliding conditions in the world. The reason for choosing Colombia for this project is that there still exists a huge gap between the urban and rural areas in respect of wealth and education.

While cities like Bogotá and Medellin have benefited from a number of astronomy outreach activities, such as Galileo Mobile, in recent years, remote locations in the countryside are usually not part of such initiatives. These regions suffer from a lack of resources in schools and are neglected by NGOs and government development programmes. This leads to large drop-out rates at the primary school level in these areas and a lower level of literacy in rural areas compared to urban areas (González & López; Unicef; WIN/Gallup International Association). This problem is not unique to Colombia. In the entirety of Latin America (and the Caribbean), about 35 million children between three and eighteen years old are not attending school, which is a total almost equal to the population of Colombia1. Instead, children start work extremely early or drift into the narcotics trafficking scene, because these are often...
the only possibilities they perceive and a higher education is not seen as an option.

The expedition

Over two months we travelled more than 1000 kilometres, from Bucaramanga to Cali, through remote terrain, and we offered workshops, observations and small experiments in almost thirty different schools, associations and village squares. Figure 1 shows the approximate route and locations. We started in the north and went by horse and paraglider (Figures 2 and 3) until close to Bogotá where we sold the horses and crossed the Magdalena river valley by bus to continue on foot and by paraglider to Cali.

Often we met with the entire school of the village we passed through. In the most remote places, this could mean only twenty to thirty children, but in the slightly bigger villages, we had up to three hundred children to entertain for an entire day with ages ranging from pre-school up to the graduating high school class. We were also joined by adults during the public observations and everyone, no matter what their age, was fascinated by the telescopes. During the day, we observed with a Coronado PST and during the night with a LightBridge Mini, both generously donated by Meade Instruments. We still receive messages, mainly via our Facebook page or email, like “You really changed my perception of the world.” This is what makes it worth all the effort — and a lot of effort was needed.

Apart from the challenging logistics of traveling to remote villages in Colombia, the El Niño phenomenon also caused severe droughts and thus did not ease our travel.

Workshops

The workshops were intended to incite dialogue, curiosity and the joy of exploration. But how to achieve this, the more so in...
We usually started with a simple question, such as “where is which planet in our Solar System?” and the attendees’ interests then dictated the further course of the activity. The workshop attendees then tried to reconstruct the Solar System. During this interactive workshop, we discussed each planet, first assessing prior knowledge and then giving detailed information, as detailed as we judged suitable according to the age group.

We also estimated the true size of the Earth with them. We again used our inflatable planets and started this activity by asking “Do you think this model of the Solar System is to scale? If the Sun was this size, who thinks the Earth would be this big? Who thinks it would be smaller? Bigger?” Compared to our large model of the Sun, the Earth was no bigger than half of their little fingernail. The interactive voting helped us to capture the children’s attention and awaken their curiosity. They were part of the activity, wanted to know more and were amazed at the sizes and distances when we re-created the Solar System to scale using the play dough, realising that the entire village would be too small to reach Neptune.

We also discussed the Earth. Again we used our inflatable model Earth to first find out: “Where are we right now?” Colombia is the answer but it was often surprisingly difficult for the children, or even adults, to find the country on the model planet. There are no borders, no city names — it is the Earth as seen from space.

Another question related to the Earth’s colours. We talked about oceans, their abundance and the important role water plays in our survival. We discussed plants, their importance both for food and as climate regulators. Often we used this observation as a transition to an activity about plants, their morphology and their extraordinary capacity to transform carbon dioxide into oxygen. Of course this activity was adapted to the attendees’ age and ranged from the simple statement that we cannot survive without plants to complex photosynthesis diagrams that we had prepared on our posters with different stickers for them to complete the diagrams.

The workshops usually ended with the solar observations. Children and adults alike could not get enough of observing
Observing on the village square at night, everyone from police to children was equally amazed by the view of the Moon and planets through the LightBridge Mini 82. Credit: Marja Seidel

The Flying Telescope: How to Reach Remote Areas in the Colombian Andes for Astronomy Outreach

Towards the end of this project we had to sell the horses early. The drought was so severe that Colombia’s largest river was at the lowest point for fifty years. So we reduced our luggage drastically and crossed by bus towards Armenia and continued on foot and by paraglider. It was probably the first time that someone has flown with a telescope in a paragliding backpack, but it worked. Our glider fitted the small LightBridge Mini along with the inflatable Moon and Earth. We hiked and flew our way down the Cauca Valley towards Cali, still continuing to offer workshops and observations in the small villages we passed through. During these last days Colombia once more offered breathtaking night skies and we were lucky enough to observe Jupiter, Saturn and Mars together with the local people. The children loved to play with our green laser pointer. Of course, safety measures are really important here, but the children seemed sometimes to understand better than the older people. Together, we invented new constellations and let them tell their stories, while trying to find answers to all their questions.

Conclusion

Unfortunately, we could not stay much longer than one or two days in each place, but we always took contact information from teachers and older students in order to remain in touch, typically over Facebook or Email, although sometimes they only had a local phone number. We hope that out of the more than 1500 people we met during the expedition, some have really become curious and will follow their dreams and pursue higher education. We also hope that projects like this continue and that we will not only reach the big cities, but really go where no other outreach is done.
Finally, we are so thankful for all the support during this trip: Meade Instruments for the two amazing telescopes which survived all this travel; Skywalk for paragliders that carried us about 400 km during this expedition; GoPro for a great camera capturing some of the extraordinary moments and Paula Iglesias and Ana Serna from Al Borde films who came along with proper equipment to film part of the journey; GPS live tracking and Spot for the live tracking and hence our parents’ health; Salewa for good helmets and clothing; Petzl for giving us lights; Le Bip Bip for solar pads and instruments; and Colombia paragliding, Leito Rey and Ricardo Gómez for the local support in the country.

We would be extremely happy to tell you more about this educational outreach adventure and about what we learned, so please contact us via our webpage where you can also find updates on forthcoming projects and on the documentary that we are developing with Al Borde films about the Cielo y Tierra expedition.

Notes
4. Project Facebook: www.facebook.com/cieloytierra.project
5. Project webpage: www.cieloytierra-project.com
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This article presents a number of ways of communicating astronomy topics, ranging from classical astronomy to modern astrophysics, to the blind and visually impaired. A major aim of these projects is to provide access which goes beyond the use of the tactile sense to improve knowledge transfer for blind and visually impaired students. The models presented here are especially suitable for young people of secondary school age.

Introduction

The desire to give visually impaired and blind people access to astronomy has long been pursued. In Germany these efforts can be traced back to the 1960s. These projects have mainly tried to communicate classic astronomy, using, for example, models of the Moon, a representation of the Sun’s activity or a planisphere. All of these techniques centred on tactile elements (Henschel, 1965) and so too have many of the more modern attempts, mimicking their predecessors but using modern lightweight materials (Carvalho and Aquino, 2015).

To give everyone a chance to develop a world view that is compatible with our scientific knowledge, engagement with astronomy needs to go beyond classic astronomy and integrate astrophysical topics. Modern attempts to communicate scientific advances in the field of astronomy have involved, for example, tactile images of currently active instruments, specifically the Hubble Space Telescope (Grice, 2007; Grice et al., 2007; and Arcand et al., 2010).

The first aim of the work presented here is to give visually impaired people access to different astronomical subjects. This should include classical astronomical questions as well as modern astrophysical topics.

The second aim is to extend such astronomical models beyond the use of the tactile sense. Many details, such as the temperature of an object, can be represented in a model, leading to a stronger connection between the model and the original phenomenon. Examples of models which respond to different senses will be presented in this article.

Currently all the models have a plain design, because they were mainly made for entirely blind people. Further information in the form of colour can easily be added if they are to be used by a mixed audience.

Model one: the tactile constellation

The first model is limited to the tactile sense since there seems to be no proper way of switching to another sense. The main goal of this model is to show the difference between the appearance of a constellation of stars in the sky and their actual magnitudes and positions in space.

Not every constellation of stars can be converted into a model because some of them include giant stars which are visible over huge distances. Without making use of a logarithmic length scale, a model of such a constellation would be too large to manage.

With this in mind and after some consideration the constellation Cassiopeia was selected. The model (shown in Figure 1) shows how the constellation appears on the sky, with five main stars that have almost the same apparent magnitude. The model further contains information about the real distances of these five stars.

Figure 1. The model Tactile Constellation shows the apparent magnitudes and distribution of the stars in the constellation of Cassiopeia (left) and the real magnitudes and distances of the stars (right). Credit: S. Kraus
spheres with different diameters and temperatures. The smallest sphere is at room temperature while the other spheres are warmed to temperatures of 33°C and 42°C. The temperature is regulated by two containers filled with water, heated by immersion heaters and controlled by electronic thermostats. Warm water is pumped through flexible tubes from the container to the corresponding sphere. These pumps can be easily sourced at low cost. In our project one pump (P1 in figure 3) was originally designed to be used in a computer’s water cooling system. The second pump (P2 in Figure 3) was made for an aquarium. This setup guarantees a uniform temperature over the whole surface of each sphere.

from each other, and from the observer. It becomes clear that the appearance of the constellation has no relation to the real distribution of the stars in the Universe.

The second important piece of information one can obtain from this model is that the absolute magnitudes of the stars increase with increasing distance from the observer. Absolute magnitudes are represented by the different diameters of the wooden spheres mounted on the wires. Each wire connects one of the spheres with one of the hemispheres mounted on the model’s front.

The combination of these simple physical facts leads to the insight that this constellation is unique for observers positioned in our Solar System.

### Hertzsprung–Russell diagram

The second model leads us away from classical astronomical observations. Instead it represents an important relationship between two properties in astrophysics, namely the luminosity and temperature of main sequence stars. The Hertzsprung–Russell diagram (HRD) illustrates the connection between these variables. It can be shown through the diagram that for main sequence stars a higher surface temperature necessarily implies a larger radius of the star — big stars are hotter than smaller ones.

Since temperature is key to this concept it is desirable to represent it within the model. The model therefore comprises three metal spheres with different diameters and temperatures. The smallest sphere is at room temperature while the other spheres are warmed to temperatures of 33°C and 42°C.

The temperature is regulated by two containers filled with water, heated by immersion heaters and controlled by electronic thermostats. Warm water is pumped through flexible tubes from the container to the corresponding sphere. These pumps can be easily sourced at low cost. In our project one pump (P1 in figure 3) was originally designed to be used in a computer’s water cooling system. The second pump (P2 in Figure 3) was made for an aquarium. This setup guarantees a uniform temperature over the whole surface of each sphere.
Students can handle the model and compare the diameters and temperatures of the spheres to deduce how the properties of the stars are correlated. The high temperature and twenty-centimetre diameter of the biggest sphere led one student to describe it as a star “with tremendous power”.

To supplement this activity, students can study a tactile image of the complete HRD, which can easily be produced out of everyday objects (Figure 4). They thereby have the chance to compare their impressions with this graph.

**Model three: sunspots**

The third model represents a phenomenon which can easily be observed even with small telescopes: sunspots. These are caused by strong magnetic fields breaking through the Sun’s photosphere. This causes convection to slow down and decreases the temperature by more than 1500 K in comparison with the periphery. Therefore, the model should communicate both the (relatively) low temperature of the spots and the magnetic field.

Unlike other models (Isidro and Pantoja, 2014) there is no tactile element to the model Sun’s surface. Given that the main effect is caused by temperature differences the model instead makes use of the sense of temperature. To achieve this, the model Sun is made out of a plastic globe. On its inner surface several thermoelectric elements are placed with their cool sides pointing outwards. Small fans are mounted in the globe, pointing towards the thermoelectric elements and enhancing their cooling effect. The elements come in groups of two, illustrating the ideal bipolar sunspot groups that can often be observed on the Sun (see Figure 5 for schematics).

In the first step the model is explored with the fingertips and sunspots are identified by the drop in temperature over the elements. In the second step the exploration can be continued by means of a magnet. A magnet is a well known and very simple tool and is therefore appropriate for the exploration of the sunspots’ magnetic fields. A student will be able to detect the opposite magnetisation within a single group of sunspots and between the two hemispheres of the Sun.

Altogether the model allows students to identify the temperature drop, recognise the presence of a magnetic field, find the ideal appearance of the sunspots in bipolar groups and compare the relationship between the magnetic polarisation within a group of sunspots and between the two hemispheres.

The model is nevertheless limited in its representation of a sunspot for technical reasons, and the restricted resolution of our sense of temperature. There can be no differentiation between the umbra and penumbra of a single spot, which can easily be recognised with a small telescope thanks to the different colours.

**Conclusion**

The development of models for visually impaired people not only gives these students access to an important natural science but is also an important step towards a conception of the world that is complete and compatible with modern scientific knowledge.

What is more, adapting a topic to suit the needs of visually impaired or blind students may yield models and concepts that can be of great advantage to sighted people too.
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Science is exciting, enlightening, complex, fundamental, precise, logical, and creative, all at the same time. However, for the public to get in touch with it and understand why it encompasses all these concepts, efforts need to be made to bridge science and society. With this aim, communication teams in research infrastructures work with a range of methods and channels. They make complex information more tangible and disseminate it as broadly as possible so that the public can understand and be engaged.

This conference will be a hands-on forum for communication officers and public relations staff to share their experiences and expertise. The aim is that participants return home with new ideas for their work, by learning how and by what means other research institutions are communicating the importance of science and research infrastructures to society.

Topics include:
- Evaluation of communication activities and impact
- Unconventional outreach: thinking out of the box
- Online social media channels
- Crisis communication
- Communication with small budgets
- Funding for communication outside the known pathways (e.g. crowdfunding, companies, European Commission)
- Museums and visitor programmes
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